1 (Case called)

2.3

THE CLERK: Will the parties please stand and state their names.

MS. HELLER: Good morning, your Honor. Nola Heller for the United States.

MR. HABER: Good morning, your Honor. Allan Haber for Louinski Minier.

THE COURT: Good morning. Mr. Haber, have you received a copy of the pre-sentence report and had an opportunity to review it with your client?

MR. HABER: I have, your Honor.

THE COURT: Do you have any objections or corrections to be made to the report itself?

MR. HABER: No, your Honor.

THE COURT: I received submissions by both the government and defense. I thought I understood what the government was saying, but I was a little unclear about how the government was recommending that the sentence be calculated, particularly in relationship to the time that he has already served.

MS. HELLER: Your Honor, we stand by our plea agreement, which recommended the range of between 292 and 365 months. However, we are not objecting to Mr. Haber's motion for your Honor to make a downward departure. He has that right to make that motion. We are not making it with him, but we

don't disagree that it is technically applicable, and that conduct is certainly part of the instant offense.

THE COURT: What I was trying to figure out from reading the papers, what was the expectation of the parties of how this was going to be handled when you entered the plea agreement? Also, I have the complication that the guideline range that you stipulated to is not the actual guideline range that the probation department has calculated.

MS. HELLER: That's right, your Honor. I think we anticipated that your Honor might give the defendant a sentence somewhere within that range, between 292 and 365, and then, if your Honor decided to give Mr. Minier credit for the time he has already been in prison in state custody, technically, that would be subtracted from the range that the parties stipulated to. Of course, we didn't anticipate the higher range. But that is what the parties contemplated. Mr. Haber can, of course, correct me if I'm wrong.

THE COURT: My understanding is that he has served about 72 months.

MR. HABER: 6 years, that's correct. He is, I think, a couple of days shy of 6 years.

THE COURT: Ms. Heller, I'm trying to make sure of the numbers, what that means. You are saying that a reasonable sentence is within the guideline range minus the time that he served?

MS. HELLER: We are saying we wouldn't object if your Honor wanted to impose that downward departure, yes.

THE COURT: That would be a downward departure pursuant to $\ensuremath{\mathsf{--}}$

MS. HELLER: 5T1.3.

2.3

THE COURT: You don't disagree that he qualifies?

MS. HELLER: He does qualify for that, your Honor.

The reason for it is that the conduct is based on the same conduct. Certainly not all of it. The defendant pled guilty

to many additional crimes here, so it certainly isn't the entirety of it.

THE COURT: Let me hear from the defense. Mr. Haber, do you want to be heard further on sentence?

MR. HABER: Your Honor, I think my submission makes it pretty clear. I don't think there is a whole lot more to say about that. The conviction from state prison was exactly the same as this one. We would hope that the Court would give him credit for that time. He has also been writted in, so he is not getting any credit at all for the time that he has been in federal custody. I believe his state prison sentence runs out this month, in a few days.

THE COURT: He is still serving --

MR. HABER: He is still serving his state sentence, your Honor.

THE COURT: Mr. Minier, is there anything you want to

say before I impose sentence?

THE DEFENDANT: No, your Honor.

THE COURT: I have reviewed the pre-sentence report, and I accept the factual recitations in the pre-sentence report and the guideline range as calculated. I accept the guideline range as calculated by the probation department as being accurate.

In the letter I received from the government, the government indicated that the plea agreement had calculated a lower guideline range, which was probably not accurate, that the probation department guideline range is the accurate guideline range. However, the government's position is that they will stick with their position that the sentence within that range that was calculated and discussed in the plea agreement is a reasonable sentence to impose on the defendant.

Also, I find pursuant to the guideline range, given the nature of his prior conviction in state court and its relationship to this offense that he pled guilty to, that he is entitled to a downward departure below the stipulated guideline range and credit for the time, the 72 months, that he has already served on this offense.

I have considered all the factors in 18 U.S.C. 3553(a) relevant to sentence. I therefore will impose the sentence as was laid out as a reasonable sentence in the plea agreement.

I am going to impose a sentence of 292 months minus

2.3

the 72 months as a downward departure for the time he has already served, for a total sentence of 270 months.

MS. HELLER: I'm sorry, your Honor. 270?

MR. HABER: I believe it is 220, your Honor.

THE COURT: 220. I'm sorry. 220 months on this offense. I will impose that sentence on both Counts One and Two. I will impose a 5-year period of supervised release, and I must impose the mandatory \$100 special assessment on both counts.

The mandatory conditions of supervised release are imposed. The defendant shall not commit another federal, state, or local crime. The defendant shall not illegally possess a controlled substance. The defendant shall not possess a firearm or destructive device. The defendant shall cooperate in the collection of DNA as directed by the probation office.

The standard conditions of supervision 1 through 13 as recommended by the pre-sentence report are also imposed, with the special condition that the defendant shall participate in a drug or alcohol treatment program, which may including testing to determine whether the defendant has reverted to the use of drugs or alcohol.

The defendant shall also submit his person, residence, place of business, vehicle, and any other premises under his control to a search on the basis that the probation officer has

reasonable belief that contraband or evidence of a violation of the conditions of release may be found. The search must be conducted at a reasonable time and in a reasonable manner.

Failure to submit to a search may be grounds for revocation.

The defendant shall inform any other residents of the premises that the premises may be subject to search pursuant to this condition.

The defendant is to report to the nearest probation office within 72 hours of his release from federal custody.

Mr. Minier, you have the right to appeal this conviction and sentence. If you wish to appeal any portion of this conviction and sentence, you should discuss it immediately with your attorney in order to preserve your right to appeal.

A notice of appeal must be filed on your behalf within 14 days of entry of today's judgment.

Anything further by the government?

MS. HELLER: Your Honor, one thing. Unfortunately,
Mr. Abreu's family members planned on being here. I'm informed
they just parked and are on their way up to the courtroom. Mr.
Abreu's mother had a letter that she had wanted me to read to
the Court. I understand the proceeding is ending. What I can
do is when they arrive, hand up a copy to your Honor and pass a
copy to the defendant.

THE COURT: All right.

MR. HABER: Your Honor, might I ask that you recommend